\mathcal{W} -algebras and surface operators in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories

Niclas Wyllard

n.wyllard@gmail.com

Abstract

A general class of W-algebras can be constructed from the affine sl(N) algebra by (quantum) Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction and are classified by partitions of N. Surface operators in an $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) 4d gauge theory are also classified by partitions of N. We argue that instanton partition functions of $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories in the presence of a surface operator can also be computed from the corresponding W-algebra. We test this proposal by analysing the Polyakov-Bershadsky $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra obtaining results that are in agreement with the known partition functions for SU(3) gauge theories with a so called simple surface operator. As a byproduct, our proposal implies relations between the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ and \mathcal{W}_3 algebras.

1 Introduction

In the last year several new detailed connections between 2d conformal field theories and 4d quiver gauge theories with $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry have been discovered. In particular, conformal (or chiral) blocks [1] of certain 2d conformal theories have been argued to be equal to instanton partition functions [2] in 4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ quiver gauge theories.

The starting point of the new developments was the important paper [3] where a relation between the Liouville theory (whose conformal blocks are those of the Virasoro algebra) and instanton partition functions in (conformal) $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(2) quiver gauge theories was uncovered. This result has been extended to various other 2d theories, such as the 2d A_{N-1} Toda theories, whose conformal blocks are those of the \mathcal{W}_N algebras, and are conjectured to be related [4] to instanton partition functions in (conformal) $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) quiver gauge theories. Extensions to non-conformal gauge theories have also been discussed, first for SU(2) theories in [5] and later also for higher rank theories [6]. In addition, conformal blocks of 2d conformal field theories with affine sl_N symmetry have been argued to be related to conformal $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) gauge theories in the presence of a so called full surface operator. This was first proposed for the affine sl(2) conformal blocks in [7] and further studied in [8]. The extension to \widehat{sl}_N (affine sl_N) was discussed in [9].

In this paper we argue that the above relations are special cases of a general connection between W-algebras and instanton partition functions in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories in the presence of surface operators.

Before describing our proposal in more detail, we should point out that in parallel to the physics developments there have also been many important results in the mathematics literature. For instance, the results in [10] can be viewed as a simpler version of the AGT relation [3] when the gauge group is U(1) rather than SU(2). In the pioneering papers [11] various aspects of instanton partition functions in the presence of surface operators were discussed. In particular, for the pure SU(N) theories with a full surface operator it was shown that the partition function of the gauge theory is equal to the norm of a so called Whittaker vector of the sl_N algebra. This result can be viewed as a non-conformal version of the AT relation [7] and is analogous to the discussion in [5], which is valid in the absence of surface operators and can also be formulated in the language of Whittaker vectors (see e.g. [12]). In a further development [13] explicit expressions for the instanton partition functions of SU(N) quiver gauge theories in the presence of a full surface operator were determined. Finally, we must also mention the recent paper [14] which contains ideas similar to the ones in this work, albeit phrased in a more mathematical language. Phrased in physics terminology, it is shown in [14] that the subsector where 4d instanton effects decouple of the instanton partition function for the pure SU(N) theory in the presence of a general surface operator is equal to the norm of a Whittaker vector of a so called finite W-algebra (a certain finite subalgebra of a W-algebra). For non-conformal theories, our proposal can be viewed as an extension of the result in [14] to the full W-algebra (such a possibility was also mentioned in [14] but was not spelled out explicitly).

A natural class of W-algebras are obtained from the sl_N algebra by quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction (also called hamiltonian reduction). The W-algebras that arise from this construction are classified by the embeddings of sl_2 inside sl_N (or equivalently by the nilpotent orbits or Levi subalgebras of sl_N). Concretely this means that these W-algebras are classified by partitions of N. The (quantum) Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction method was studied for the \widehat{sl}_2 algebra in [15] and shown to lead to the Virasoro algebra upon reduction. An extension to \hat{sl}_N that gives rise to the \mathcal{W}_N algebras upon reduction was developed in [16] (see also the pioneering work [17]). In the language of sl₂ embeddings the reductions in [16] correspond to the so called principally embedded sl₂ subalgebras. The first example of a reduction corresponding to a non-principally embedded sl_2 was obtained in [18] where a reduction from sl_3 gave rise to a previously unknown W-algebra, now referred to as the Polyakov-Bershadsky $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra [19, 18]. The general connection to sl₂ embeddings was first observed in the classical case [20] (see also the review [21]). A general theory of quantum reductions for arbitrary sl_2 embeddings was developed in [22] (see also e.g. [23] for some further mathematical developments.)

One way to define a surface operator in a 4d gauge theory is by specifying the (singular) behaviour of the gauge field (and scalars, if present) near the 2d submanifold where the surface operator is supported. In [24] it was found that the possible types of surface operators in an $\mathcal{N}=4$ SU(N) gauge theory are in one-to-one correspondence with the Levi subalgebras of SU(N). Concretely this means that for every (non-trivial) partition of N there is a possible surface operator. Surface operators in 4d SU(N) theories with $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry are also classified by partitions of N and have

¹Throughout this paper we focus on the \widehat{sl}_N algebras and their associated W-algebras, but similar results are expected to hold also for other affine Lie algebras.

been studied e.g. in [25] (and more recently in the context of the AGT relation in several papers [26–31,7–9]). For $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories a surface operator depends on a certain number of continuous complex parameters, one for each abelian U(1) factor in the Levi subalgebra. Following [7] we call a surface operator corresponding to the partition N=(N-1)+1 a simple surface operator and a surface operator corresponding to $N=1+\ldots+1$ a full surface operator.

As was recalled above, both the W-algebras that are obtained by quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction from the $\widehat{\operatorname{sl}}_N$ algebra and surface operators in $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) gauge theories are classified by partitions of N. We argue that this is not a coincidence and that the two classes of objects are related.

We propose that instanton partition functions of $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) gauge theories in the presence of a surface operator corresponding to a given partition of N are also computable from the \mathcal{W} -algebra corresponding to the same partition. For non-conformal gauge theories the relevant \mathcal{W} -algebra quantity is the norm of a Whittaker vector, whereas for conformal gauge theories the relevant object is a conformal block. This proposal generalises in a very natural way the two cases previously considered in the literature: Whittaker vectors/conformal blocks of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(N)$ algebra have been shown/argued [11, 7, 9] to correspond to non-conformal/conformal SU(N) instanton partition functions with a full surface operator and conformal blocks/Gaiotto states of the \mathcal{W}_N algebras correspond [3–6] to conformal/non-conformal SU(N) instanton partition functions in the absence of a surface operator. In the language of partitions, these two cases correspond to the partitions $N = 1 + \cdots + 1$ and N = N, respectively.

In the next section we test our proposal by analysing the Polyakov-Bershadsky $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra. This \mathcal{W} -algebra corresponds to the partition 3=2+1 and is the simplest case which has not previously been studied. Our proposal implies that it should be possible to use $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ methods to compute partition functions in $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(3) gauge theories with a simple surface operator. Such partition functions have previously been computed using other approaches² [3,28,29,31]. Using these results we find agreement with $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ computations. As a byproduct we find relations between the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ and \mathcal{W}_3 algebras.

2 W-algebras and surface operators for rank two

In this section we test the idea outlined above relating W-algebras and instanton partition functions in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories with surface operators. We focus on the rank two theories. For such theories, the partition 3=1+1+1 corresponds to the $\widehat{sl}(3)$ algebra (no reduction) and to a full surface operator in $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(3) gauge theories, the partition 3=3 corresponds to the reduction of $\widehat{sl}(3)$ to the W_3 algebra [32] and to the absence of a surface operator. The final case, 3=2+1, corresponds to the reduction

²Strictly speaking the simple surface operator appearing in these papers, although also associated with 3 = 2+1, is not precisely the same as the one that appears in the $W_3^{(2)}$ computation. However our results (as well as those in [8,28]) indicate that for the purpose of computing the instanton partition function they can be considered to be the same (at least for non-quiver theories). In this paper both types will therefore be referred to as a simple surface operator (see section 3 for a further discussion).

of $\widehat{sl}(3)$ to the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra [19, 18] and to a simple surface operator. We summarise the various possibilities in the following table:

Partition	2d symmetry algebra	Type of surface operator
1+1+1	$\widehat{\mathrm{sl}}(3)$	Full
2+1	$\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$	Simple
3	\mathcal{W}_3	Absent

The relation between the second and third columns in the last row is the A_2 AGT relation [4, 3] (or its non-conformal version [6, 5]) and in the first row the A_2 AT relation [9,7] (or its non-conformal version [11]). The relation between the last two columns for the middle row is the subject of this section and constitutes the first previously unknown case illustrating our proposal relating W-algebras and surface operators in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories.

We first review various properties of the $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra and its representations and then in section 2.2 perform some perturbative computations. These results should be compared to instanton partition functions in SU(3) theories with a simple surface operator. In the general case we do not know how to compute the instanton partition function in the presence of a surface operator. However, for the case of a simple surface operator one can fortunately use the alternative dual description in terms of a degeneratate field in the W_3 algebra (A_2 Toda theory) [26,33,28,29]. Using this result, in section 2.3 we perform some perturbative W_3 computations (with a degenerate field insertion), finding complete agreement with the $W_3^{(2)}$ computations in section 2.2.

2.1 The $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra and its representations

The Polyakov-Bershadsky $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra [19,18] is an extension of the Virasoro algebra. In addition to the energy-momentum tensor T(z) it also contains two fields $G^{\pm}(z)$ each with conformal dimension 3/2 and one field J(z) with conformal dimension 1. These fields have the mode expansions

$$J(z) = \sum_{n} z^{-n-1} J_n, \qquad G^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{n} z^{-n-\frac{3}{2}} G_n^{\pm}, \qquad T(z) = \sum_{n} z^{-n-2} L_n. \tag{2.1}$$

The modes satisfy the following commutations relations (which are straightforwardly obtained from the more commonly quoted operator product expansions)

$$[L_{n}, J_{m}] = -m J_{n+m}, \qquad [L_{n}, G_{m}^{\pm}] = (\frac{n}{2} - m) G_{n+m}^{\pm}, \qquad [J_{n}, G_{m}^{\pm}] = \pm G_{n+m}^{\pm},$$

$$[J_{n}, J_{m}] = \frac{2k+3}{3} n \delta_{n+m,0}, \qquad [L_{n}, L_{m}] = (n-m) L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12} n(n^{2} - 1) \delta_{n+m,0}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$[G_{n}^{+}, G_{m}^{-}] = \frac{(k+1)(2k+3)}{2} (n^{2} - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{n+m,0} - (k+3) L_{n+m} + \frac{3}{2} (k+1)(n-m) J_{n+m} + 3 \sum_{\ell} : J_{n+m-\ell} J_{\ell} :$$

where k is a parameter, $c = -\frac{(2k+3)(1+3k)}{k+3}$ and : : denotes the normal ordering

$$: X_n Y_m := \begin{cases} X_n Y_m & \text{if} & n \le m \\ Y_m X_n & \text{if} & n > m \end{cases}$$
 (2.3)

The $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra is similar to the well-known $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal algebra [34], but in (2.2) G_n^{\pm} are bosonic and there is a nonlinear J^2 term in the algebra. Despite these differences it is still true that one can consider both Ramond and Neveu-Schwarz sectors. These differ by whether n in the mode-expansion of $G^{\pm}(z)$ in (2.1) are integers or half-integers.

We mainly consider the Ramond sector, where G_n^{\pm} are integer moded. The zero-mode sector of (2.2) is of particular importance and is spanned by J_0 , G_0^{\pm} , and L_0 . Introducing the notation

$$H = 2J_0, E = 2G_0^+, F = \frac{2}{3}G_0^-, C = -\frac{4(k+3)}{3}L_0 - \frac{(k+1)(2k+3)}{6}, (2.4)$$

we find the algebra

$$[H, E] = 2E$$
, $[H, F] = -2F$, $[E, F] = H^2 + C$. (2.5)

This is an example of a so called finite W-algebra [35]. Finite W-algebras can be obtained by (quantum) Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction from ordinary Lie algebras (rather than from affine Lie algebras) [35,36]. The above algebra (2.5) arises via reduction from sl₃ [35,36]. (See [37] for a discussion of various equivalent ways of defining a finite W-algebra and their relations to W-algebras.) As discussed in [37] it is the Ramond sector that is most directly related to the finite W-algebra.

The representation theory for the $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra has been developed in the literature. In the Ramond sector, a highest weight (or primary) state $|\lambda\rangle$ satisfies [38]

$$L_0|\lambda\rangle = \left(\frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda - (k+1)\rho \rangle}{2(k+3)} - \frac{1}{8}\right)|\lambda\rangle, \qquad J_0|\lambda\rangle = \left(\langle \lambda, h_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\right)|\lambda\rangle, \tag{2.6}$$

together with

$$L_n|\lambda\rangle = G_{n-1}^+|\lambda\rangle = G_n^-|\lambda\rangle = J_n|\lambda\rangle = 0 \qquad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{2.7}$$

In (2.6) λ denotes a vector in the root/weight space of sl₃, i.e. $\lambda = \lambda^1 \Lambda_1 + \lambda^2 \Lambda_2$ where $\Lambda_{1,2}$ are the two fundamental weights of sl₃. Furthermore, $\rho = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ is the Weyl vector and $h_2 = \Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1$ (see appendix A.1 for more details of our Lie algebra conventions). Note that shifting λ in (2.6) by a term proportional to ρ changes the form of the L_0 eigenvalue, but does not change the J_0 eigenvalue. The representation theory in the Ramond sector is closely related to the representation theory of the associated finite \mathcal{W} -algebra (2.5). The representation theory of the algebra (2.5) was obtained in [35, 36] (see also [39]).

The Neveu-Schwarz version of (2.6), (2.7) can be found e.g. in [40]. In this case the λ -independent terms in (2.6) are absent and the G^{\pm} conditions in (2.7) are replaced by $G_r^{\pm}|\lambda\rangle = 0$ for all positive half-integers r.

In the Ramond sector, the descendants of a primary state, $\langle \lambda |$, are denoted $\langle \mathbf{n}; \lambda |$, where

$$\langle \mathbf{n}; \lambda | = \langle \lambda | G_{n_1^+ - 1}^+ \cdots G_{n_{\ell}^+ - 1}^+ G_{n_1^-}^- \cdots G_{n_{\ell}^-}^- J_{n_1} \cdots J_{n_{\ell}} L_{\tilde{n}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{n}_{\ell}}, \qquad (2.8)$$

and n_i^{\pm} , \tilde{n}_i and n_i can be any positive integer. Similarly,

$$|\mathbf{n};\lambda\rangle = L_{-\tilde{n}_1} \cdots L_{-\tilde{n}_\ell} J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_\ell} G_{-n_1^+}^+ \cdots G_{-n_{\ell}^+}^+ G_{-n_1^-+1}^- \cdots G_{-n_{\ell}^-+1}^- |\lambda\rangle.$$
 (2.9)

The matrix of inner products of descendants (usually called the Gram or Shapovalov matrix) satisfies

$$X_{\lambda}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{m}) = \langle \mathbf{n}; \lambda | \mathbf{m}; \lambda \rangle \propto \delta_{N,M} \, \delta_{S_n + S_m, 0},$$
 (2.10)

i.e. it is a block-diagonal matrix where each block contains only descendants with given values for the total level $N = \sum_{i} (n_i + \tilde{n}_i + n_i^+ + n_i^-)$ and the total charge, S_n , given by the number of n_i^+ minus the number of n_i^- .

2.2 Perturbative computations for the $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra

A Whittaker-type state (vector) can be defined for the $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra in a way completely analogous to the construction in [11,14] (see also section 5 in [9] for a discussion using the notation of [5] that will also be used below). We denote this state by $|x_1, x_2; \lambda\rangle$ and demand that it should satisfy

$$G_0^+|x_1, x_2; \lambda\rangle = \sqrt{x_1}|x_1, x_2; \lambda\rangle, \qquad G_1^-|x_1, x_2; \lambda\rangle = \sqrt{x_2}|x_1, x_2; \lambda\rangle,$$
 (2.11)

where all other G_n^{\pm} , J_n and L_n that annihilate $|\lambda\rangle$ also annihilate $|x_1, x_2; \lambda\rangle$. The norm of the Whittaker state can be expressed in terms of certain (diagonal) components of the inverse of the matrix (2.10). The following set of descendants play a distinguished role in this construction

$$|n, p; \lambda\rangle = (G_{-1}^+)^p (G_0^-)^n |\lambda\rangle.$$
 (2.12)

Denoting the corresponding diagonal component of the inverse of the matrix X_{λ} by $X_{\lambda}^{-1}(n,p;n,p)$, the norm of the Whittaker vector can be obtained via

$$\langle x_1, x_2; \lambda | x_1, x_2; \lambda \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} X_{\lambda}^{-1}(n, p; n, p) x_1^n x_2^p.$$
 (2.13)

From our proposal it follows that this expression should equal (possibly up to a prefactor) the instanton partition function for the pure $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(3) theory with a simple surface operator insertion.

The terms in (2.13) containing only x_1 involve descendants of the form $(G_0^-)^n | \lambda \rangle$. For such descendants, the Gram matrix is diagonal and can be computed using (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7) with the result

$$\langle \lambda | (G_0^+)^n (G_0^-)^n | \lambda \rangle = n(\lambda_1 - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + n - 1)(-\lambda_2 + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + n - 1)\langle \lambda | (G_0^+)^{n-1} (G_0^-)^{n-1} | \lambda \rangle$$

= $n! (\lambda_1 - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2})_n (-\lambda_2 + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{2})_n,$ (2.14)

where $(X)_n = X(X+1)\cdots(X+n-1)$ is the usual Pochhammer symbol. The contribution to (2.13) is consequently

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2})_n (-\lambda_2 + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{2})_n} \frac{x_1^n}{n!} . \tag{2.15}$$

Similarly, the terms depending only on x_2 arise from the result

$$\langle \lambda | (G_1^-)^n (G_{-1}^+)^n | \lambda \rangle = (-1)^n n! \left(-\lambda_1 - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \right)_n (\lambda_2 - \frac{3k}{2} - \frac{5}{2})_n, \qquad (2.16)$$

and lead to the following contribution to (2.13)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(-\lambda_1 - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2})_n (\lambda_2 - \frac{3k}{2} - \frac{5}{2})_n} \frac{(-x_2)^n}{n!} . \tag{2.17}$$

It is also possible to compute subleading terms. As an example, we consider the terms of the form $x_1^{n+1} x_2$. The relevant block of the Gram matrix involve descendants of the form

$$|1\rangle = G_{-1}^{+}(G_{0}^{-})^{n+1}|\lambda\rangle , \qquad |2\rangle = G_{-1}^{-}(G_{0}^{-})^{n-1}|\lambda\rangle , |3\rangle = J_{-1}(G_{0}^{-})^{n}|\lambda\rangle , \qquad |4\rangle = L_{-1}(G_{0}^{-})^{n}|\lambda\rangle .$$
 (2.18)

For any $n \ge 1$ these states generate a 4×4 sub-block $X_{r,s} = \langle r|s \rangle$ with $r, s = 1, \ldots, 4$ of the Gram matrix:³

$$X_{r,s} = \begin{pmatrix} P_1(\lambda)M(n+1) & 0 & M(n+1) & \frac{3}{2}M(n+1) \\ 0 & P_2(\lambda)M(n-1) & -M(n) & \frac{3}{2}M(n) \\ M(n+1) & -M(n) & \frac{(2k+3)}{3}M(n) & [q(\lambda)-n]M(n) \\ \frac{3}{2}M(n+1) & \frac{3}{2}M(n) & [q(\lambda)-n]M(n) & 2\Delta(\lambda)M(n) \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.19)

with

$$P_{1}(\lambda) = -\frac{3(k+1)(2k+3)}{8} + (k+3)\Delta(\lambda) + 3(k+1)[\Upsilon(\lambda)-n-1] - 3[\Upsilon(\lambda)-n-1]^{2},$$

$$P_{2}(\lambda) = \frac{3(k+1)(2k+3)}{8} - (k+3)\Delta(\lambda) + 3(k+1)[\Upsilon(\lambda)-n+1] + 3[\Upsilon(\lambda)-n+1]^{2}, (2.20)$$

where $\Delta(\lambda)$ denotes the eigenvalue of L_0 in (2.6), $\Upsilon(\lambda)$ denotes the J_0 eigenvalue, and

$$M(n) \equiv \langle \lambda | (G_0^+)^n (G_0^-)^n | \lambda \rangle = n! (\lambda_1 - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2})_n (-\lambda_2 + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{2})_n.$$
 (2.21)

Inverting (2.19) and selecting the 1,1 component in accordance with the general result (2.13), gives a closed expression for all $x_1^{n+1} x_2$ terms. However, as this expression is somewhat unwieldy we only give the coefficient of the x_1x_2 term:

$$\frac{8(9+6k+k^2+12[1+k]\lambda_1+4k^2\lambda_1-2[1+k]\lambda_1^2+8k\lambda_2+4k^2\lambda_2-8\lambda_1\lambda_2-4k\lambda_1\lambda_2-2[1+k]\lambda_2^2)}{(k+3)(k-1-2\lambda_1)(k+3+2\lambda_1)(k+3-2\lambda_2)(3k+5-2\lambda_2)(2k+3-\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}.$$
(2.22)

³When n = 0, the block reduces to a 3×3 block (obtained from (2.19) by removing the 2nd row and column and setting n = 0).

So far we have focused on $W_3^{(2)}$ quantities that on the gauge theory side correspond to the (non-conformal) pure SU(3) theory. It should also be possible to consider conformal SU(3) gauge theories. For instance, from our proposal and standard AGT-type arguments it follows that the four-point $W_3^{(2)}$ conformal block on the sphere should equal (possibly up to a prefactor) the instanton partition function for the $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(3) theory with $N_f=6$ and a simple surface operator insertion. It seems natural to assume that the primary field corresponding to the state $|\lambda\rangle$ can be expressed as $V_{\lambda}(x,z)$, where x is an isospin variable and z denotes the worldsheet coordinate. In the standard decomposition, the four-point conformal block can then be written

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n};\mathbf{n}} \frac{\langle \lambda_1 | V_{\xi_2}(1,1) | \mathbf{n}; \lambda \rangle X_{\lambda}^{-1}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{m}) \langle \mathbf{m}; \lambda | V_{\xi_3}(x,z) | \lambda_4 \rangle}{\langle \lambda_1 | V_{\xi_2}(1,1) | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | V_{\xi_3}(x,z) | \lambda_4 \rangle}.$$
 (2.23)

As in [4,9] the ξ_i should be special (restricted) momenta which should lead to crucial simplifications. To compute (2.23) one would in particular need to know the commutation relations between the generators of the $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra and the V_{ξ_i} 's. As in the \widehat{sl}_3 case it is natural to expect that these commutation relations can be expressed in terms of differential operators acting on the isospin (and worldsheet) variables (as in the W_3 case there can also be pieces that can not be expressed as differential operators). One encouraging result is that the zero-mode part of the $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra (i.e. the finite $W_3^{(2)}$ -algebra) can be realised in terms of differential operators as (see also the discussion in section 6 of [36])

$$D_0^+ = -x \left[\frac{(k+1)(2k+3)}{8} + (k+3)x[\Delta + z\partial_z] - 3\Upsilon^2 \right] - x^2(3\Upsilon - \frac{3}{2})\frac{d}{dx} + x^3\frac{d^2}{dx^2},$$

$$D_0^- = \frac{d}{dx}, \qquad D_0 = \Upsilon - x\frac{d}{dx}, \qquad \mathcal{D}_0 = \Delta + z\partial_z, \qquad (2.24)$$

where Δ denotes the conformal dimension (the eigenvalue of L_0 in (2.6)), and Υ denotes the J_0 eigenvalue. (Note that the algebra (2.24) also closes if one omits the $z\partial_z$ terms.)

We should also mention that in the \widehat{sl}_N computations in [7,9] additional operator insertions in the conformal blocks were crucial to obtain agreement with the instanton computations. Similar insertions are probably also required in the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ case.

As there are several unsolved (technical) problems associated with the computations of conformal blocks for the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra we postpone a full discussion to future work.

2.3 W_3 degenerate fields and simple surface operators

Instanton partition functions for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SU(3) gauge theories can be obtained from the \mathcal{W}_3 algebra [4,6] (see also [41,42]). The addition of a certain simple surface operator can be interpreted as the insertion of a degenerate field in the 2d CFT [26, 33, 28, 29]. For the pure SU(3) theory the relevant quantity is

$$\langle y; \alpha | V_{-b\Lambda_1}(x) | y; \alpha \rangle,$$
 (2.25)

where (in our notation) $|y;\alpha\rangle$ is the \mathcal{W}_3 (Whittaker) state constructed in [6] and $V_{-b\Lambda_1}$ is a degenerate field of the \mathcal{W}_3 algebra. For the conformal SU(3) theory with $N_f=6$

the relevant quantity is a particular five-point W_3 conformal block where two of the insertions are special (cf. [4]) and one of the insertions is the degenerate field $V_{-b\Lambda_1}$.

An alternative to the W_3 degenerate field approach is to use the (B or A model) topological string description of a simple surface operator [28, 29], or the gauge theory method in [28] which uses a combination of the conjectures in [3] and [26] and corresponds to a geometric transition in the topological string language [29, 31].

We first briefly describe the W_3 approach. Primary fields associated with W_3 are denoted $V_{\alpha}(z)$ where $\alpha = \alpha^1 \Lambda_1 + \alpha^2 \Lambda_2$, and the corresponding state is denoted $|\alpha\rangle$. By inserting two complete sets of states the five-point W_3 conformal block mentioned above can be written (we suppress the three-point factors in the denominator)

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}',\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} \langle \alpha_1 | V_{\chi_2}(1) | \mathbf{n}; \alpha \rangle X_{\mathbf{n};\mathbf{n}'}^{-1}(\alpha) \langle \mathbf{n}'; \alpha | V_{-b\Lambda_1}(x) | \mathbf{m}; \tilde{\alpha} \rangle X_{\mathbf{m};\mathbf{m}'}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}) \langle \mathbf{m}'; \tilde{\alpha} | V_{\chi_3}(z) | \alpha_4 \rangle, (2.26)$$

where $\chi_i = \kappa_i \Lambda_1$, $|\mathbf{n}; \alpha\rangle$ is short-hand notation for the descendants of the primary state $|\alpha\rangle$, $X_{\mathbf{n};\mathbf{n}'}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is the inverse of the Gram matrix, and the sums run over are all descendants. The terms in (2.26) with $|\mathbf{m}; \alpha\rangle = |\mathbf{m}'; \alpha\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$ depend only on x and after summing over \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{n}' reduce to

$$\langle \alpha_1 | V_{\chi_2}(1) V_{-b\Lambda_1}(x) | \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \propto {}_{3}F_2(A_1, A_2, A_3; B_1, B_2; x),$$
 (2.27)

where we used the results in [43]. This result has also been obtained from the dual gauge theory [41] and was discussed in [44] using the matrix model approach [45] (see also [46]). The hypergeometric function in (2.27) is defined in the neighbourhood of x = 0 and has the series expansion

$$_{3}F_{2}(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}; B_{1}, B_{2}; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A_{1})_{n}(A_{2})_{n}(A_{3})_{n}}{(B_{1})_{n}(B_{2})_{n}} \frac{x^{n}}{n!},$$
 (2.28)

with

$$A_i = b(\frac{1}{3}\kappa_2 - \frac{2}{3}b + \langle \tilde{\alpha} - Q\rho, h_1 \rangle - \langle \alpha_1 - Q\rho, h_i \rangle), \quad B_i = 1 + b\langle \tilde{\alpha} - Q\rho, h_1 - h_{i+1} \rangle. \quad (2.29)$$

Similarly, the terms with $|\mathbf{n};\alpha\rangle = |\mathbf{n}';\alpha\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$ depend only on $\frac{z}{x}$ and reduce to

$$\langle \alpha | V_{-b\Lambda_1}(x) V_{\chi_3}(z) | \alpha_4 \rangle = \propto {}_{3}F_2(C_1, C_2, C_3; D_1, D_2; \frac{z}{x}),$$
 (2.30)

where

$$C_i = b(\frac{1}{3}\kappa_3 - \frac{2}{3}b + \langle \alpha_4 - Q\rho, h_i \rangle - \langle \alpha - Q\rho, h_1 \rangle), \quad D_i = 1 - b\langle \alpha - Q\rho, h_1 - h_{i+1} \rangle. \quad (2.31)$$

The above expressions correspond on the gauge theory side to the conformal SU(3) theory with $N_f = 6$; the expressions relevant to the pure SU(3) theory can be obtained by taking the "non-conformal limit" i.e. by replacing the $(A_i)_n$ and $(C_i)_n$ factors by 1. Alternatively, one can analyse (2.25) directly using the method in [47,8].

By comparing the non-conformal version of the above two results to the corresponding results in the previous subsection (2.15), (2.17) we see that they agree provided we make the identifications

$$x_1 = x$$
, $x_2 = -\frac{z}{x}$, $k+3 = -b^2$, $\lambda_1 = b\alpha_1 - \frac{b^2}{2} - 2$, $\lambda_2 = -b(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \frac{b^2}{2} + 1$. (2.32)

Furthermore, $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + b\Lambda_1$, which is simply the degenerate fusion rule.

We have also analysed a class of subleading terms. These can be obtained from CFT considerations as above, but we found it more convenient to use the method in section 6 of [28]. In this method the partition function of the SU(3) gauge theory with a simple surface operator is obtained from an SU(3)×SU(3) quiver gauge theory (with instanton expansion parameters y_1 and y_2) by imposing certain restrictions, which are simply the degenerate field and fusion requirements translated into gauge theory language using the AGT relation. Using this method the coefficient in front of the y_1y_2 term in the instanton partition function for the pure SU(3) theory with a simple surface operator becomes (here $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$)

$$\frac{(-6a_1^2\epsilon_1 - 6a_1a_2\epsilon_1 - 6a_2^2\epsilon_1 + 6\epsilon_1^3 - a_1^2\epsilon_2 - 4a_1a_2\epsilon_2 - 4a_2^2\epsilon_2 + 3a_1\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + 10\epsilon_1^2\epsilon_2 + 5\epsilon_1\epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_2^3)}{\epsilon_1^2\epsilon_2(\epsilon_1 + a_1 - a_2)(\epsilon_1 + 2a_1 + a_2)(\epsilon - a_1 - 2a_2)(\epsilon - 2a_1 - a_2)(\epsilon - a_1 + a_2)(\epsilon + a_1 + 2a_2)},$$
(2.33)

where $a_{1,2}$ are the SU(3) Coulomb parameters. The result (2.33) matches (2.22) provided that

$$x_1 = y_1, \quad x_2 = -y_2, \quad k+3 = -\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1}, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{a_2 - a_1}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} - 1, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{2a_1 + a_2}{\epsilon_1} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} - 1.$$
(2.34)

The leading y_1^n and y_2^n terms of course also match, as do higher-order $y_1^n y_2$ terms. The non-trivial agreement of these infinite sets of terms supports our idea that instanton partition functions in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SU(3) gauge theories with a simple surface operator should be computable from the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra.

As a byproduct of our analysis we find relations between the $W_3^{(2)}$ and W_3 algebras. For the non-conformal case the conjecture is that (2.13) is equal to (2.25); more generally there should also be relations between $W_3^{(2)}$ conformal blocks and W_3 conformal blocks with an additional degenerate field insertion, e.g. we expect that (2.23) and (2.26) should be equal (possibly up to a prefactor).

3 Discussion

In this paper we argued that there is a general connection between W-algebras and instanton partition functions in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories with surface operators (similar ideas were discussed in [14]). This proposal is very natural from the viewpoint in [48] which uses the 6d (2,0) theory formulated on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times C$, where an $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) gauge theory lives on \mathbb{R}^4 and the 2d conformal field theory lives on the Riemann surface C. As discussed in [7], one way a surface operator can arise is from a 4d defect spanning a 2d submanifold of \mathbb{R}^4 and wrapping C. In [48] it was argued that for the SU(N) theories,

the 4d defects of the (2,0) theory are classified by Young tableaux or equivalently by partitions of N, so the class of surface operators constructed from 4d defects should also be classified by partitions. Thus in this construction it should be possible to describe a general surface operator. Our proposal can be viewed as a prescription for how the symmetry algebra of the 2d theory is changed when a general 4d defect wraps C.

It is also possible to describe surface operators using 2d defects spanning a submanifold inside \mathbb{R}^4 and intersecting C at a point. This construction leads to the interpretation of a simple surface operator, i.e. a surface operator corresponding to the partition N = (N-1) + 1, in terms of degenerate fields in the A_{N-1} Toda theory as first proposed in in [3]. It is less clear (at least to us) if one can describe general surface operators using only 2d defects. But at least for a simple surface operator there are two descriptions, in terms of 4d or 2d defects. There are certain differences between the simple surface operators that arise from these two constructions, but computations in [8,28] and the results in this paper indicate that the instanton partition function is not sensitive to these differences (at least for some theories). For this reason we have not used a nomenclature which emphasises the differences, but this point should be kept in mind in future applications.

Our analysis is far from complete and there are many unsolved problems. It would be desirable to have additional checks (or perhaps even proofs) of the general proposal. One immediate extension is to develop the technology needed to compute conformal blocks for theories with $W_3^{(2)}$ symmetry and to compare the results with the proposed dual gauge theory expressions. The Whittaker vectors and the conformal blocks only depend on the symmetry algebra, but just as for the original AGT conjecture [3] it seems plausible that there is an extension to a relation between correlation functions in the 2d CFT and gauge theory partition functions involving some (modified) version of the type studied in [49]. In the general case the relevant 2d CFT is probably a generalised Toda theory (see e.g. [21]), but unfortunately such theories have not been much studied in the literature.

Another subject that we did not discuss, but where surface operators appear to be important, is the connections to quantum-mechanical integrable systems. In addition to papers already mentioned this is discussed in e.g. [50].

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Can Kozçaz, Sara Pasquetti and Filippo Passerini for collaboration on [9] which formed the basis of the present work. I would also like to thank Nadav Drukker for some useful comments and the string theory group at Queen Mary, University of London for hospitality during the final stages of this work.

A Appendix

A.1 The sl_3 Lie algebra

The root/weight space of the sl₃ (or A_2) Lie algebra can be viewed as a 2-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 . The unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^3 are denoted u_i ($i=1,\ldots,3$) and satisfy $\langle u_i,u_j\rangle=\delta_{ij}$. The simple roots are $e_1=u_1-u_2$ and $e_2=u_2-u_3$. The positive roots comprise the e_i together with $\theta=e_1+e_2=u_1-u_3$. The fundamental weights are

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{1}{3}(2u_1 - u_2 - u_3), \qquad \Lambda_2 = \frac{1}{3}(u_1 + u_2 - 2u_3)$$
(A.1)

and satisfy $\langle \Lambda_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. The Weyl vector, ρ , is half the sum of the positive roots, hence $\rho = \theta = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$. Finally, the weights of the fundamental representation are

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{3}(2u_1 - u_2 - u_3), \quad h_2 = \frac{1}{3}(-u_1 + 2u_2 - u_3), \quad h_3 = \frac{1}{3}(-u_1 - u_2 + 2u_3)$$
 (A.2)

Note that $h_1 = \Lambda_1$, $h_2 = -\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ and $h_3 = -\Lambda_2$.

References

- [1] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, "Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B241** (1984) 333–380.
- [2] N. A. Nekrasov, "Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7 (2004) 831–864, hep-th/0206161.
- [3] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, "Liouville correlation functions from four-dimensional gauge theories," *Lett. Math. Phys.* **91** (2010) 167–197, arXiv:0906.3219 [hep-th].
- [4] N. Wyllard, " A_{N-1} conformal Toda field theory correlation functions from conformal $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) quiver gauge theories," JHEP 11 (2009) 002, arXiv:0907.2189 [hep-th].
- [5] D. Gaiotto, "Asymptotically free N = 2 theories and irregular conformal blocks," arXiv:0908.0307 [hep-th];
 A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, "On non-conformal limit of the AGT relations," Phys. Lett. B682 (2009) 125-129, arXiv:0909.2052 [hep-th].
- [6] M. Taki, "On AGT conjecture for pure super Yang-Mills and W-algebra," arXiv:0912.4789 [hep-th].
- [7] L. F. Alday and Y. Tachikawa, "Affine SL(2) conformal blocks from 4d gauge theories," arXiv:1005.4469 [hep-th].

- [8] H. Awata, H. Fuji, H. Kanno, M. Manabe, and Y. Yamada, "Localization with a surface operator, irregular conformal blocks and open topological string," arXiv:1008.0574 [hep-th].
- [9] C. Kozçaz, S. Pasquetti, F. Passerini, and N. Wyllard, "Affine sl(N) conformal blocks from $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(N) gauge theories," arXiv:1008.1412 [hep-th].
- [10] E. Carlsson and A. Okounkov, "Exts and vertex operators," arXiv:0801.2565 [math.AG].
- [11] A. Braverman, "Instanton counting via affine Lie algebras I: Equivariant
 J-functions of (affine) flag manifolds and Whittaker vectors," math/0401409;
 A. Braverman and P. Etingof, "Instanton counting via affine Lie algebras. II:
 From Whittaker vectors to the Seiberg-Witten prepotential," math/0409441.
- [12] S. Yanagida, "Whittaker vectors of the Virasoro algebra in terms of Jack symmetric polynomial," arXiv:1003.1049 [math.QA].
- [13] B. Feigin, M. Finkelberg, A. Negut, and L. Rybnikov, "Yangians and cohomology rings of Laumon spaces," arXiv:0812.4656 [math.AG].
- [14] A. Braverman, B. Feigin, L. Rybnikov, and M. Finkelberg, "A finite analog of the AGT relation I: Finite W-algebras and quasimaps' spaces," arXiv:1008.3655 [math.AG].
- [15] A. A. Belavin, "KdV type equations and W algebras," Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 19 (1988) 117;
 M. Bershadsky and H. Ooguri, "Hidden SL(n) Symmetry in Conformal Field Theories," Commun. Math. Phys. 126 (1989) 49.
- [16] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, "Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction," Phys. Lett. B246 (1990) 75–81;
 J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, "On the homological construction of Casimir algebras," Nucl. Phys. B343 (1990) 450.
- [17] V. A. Fateev and S. L. Lukyanov, "The models of two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory with \mathbb{Z}_n symmetry," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A3 (1988) 507.
- [18] M. Bershadsky, "Conformal field theories via Hamiltonian reduction," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139** (1991) 71–82.
- [19] A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge transformations and diffeomorphisms," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5 (1990) 833.
- [20] F. A. Bais, T. Tjin, and P. van Driel, "Covariantly coupled chiral algebras," Nucl. Phys. B357 (1991) 632–654;
 L. Feher, L. O'Raifeartaigh, P. Ruelle, I. Tsutsui, and A. Wipf, "Generalized Toda theories and W algebras associated with integral gradings," Ann. Phys. 213 (1992) 1–20.

- [21] L. Feher, L. O'Raifeartaigh, P. Ruelle, I. Tsutsui, and A. Wipf, "On Hamiltonian reductions of the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten theories," *Phys. Rept.* 222 (1992) 1–64.
- [22] J. de Boer and T. Tjin, "The relation between quantum W algebras and Lie algebras," Commun. Math. Phys. 160 (1994) 317–332, hep-th/9302006.
- [23] V. Kac, S. Roan, and M. Wakimoto, "Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **241** (2003) 307–342, math-ph/0302015.
- [24] S. Gukov and E. Witten, "Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric langlands program," hep-th/0612073.
- [25] S. Gukov, "Surface operators and knot homologies," arXiv:0706.2369
 [hep-th];
 M.-C. Tan, "Supersymmetric surface operators, four-manifold theory and invariants in various dimensions," arXiv:1006.3313 [hep-th].
- [26] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, S. Gukov, Y. Tachikawa, and H. Verlinde, "Loop and surface operators in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theory and Liouville modular geometry," JHEP **01** (2010) 113, arXiv:0909.0945 [hep-th].
- [27] D. Gaiotto, "Surface operators in $\mathcal{N}=2$ 4d gauge theories," arXiv:0911.1316 [hep-th].
- [28] C. Kozçaz, S. Pasquetti, and N. Wyllard, "A & B model approaches to surface operators and Toda theories," JHEP 1008 (2010) 042, arXiv:1004.2025 [hep-th].
- [29] T. Dimofte, S. Gukov, and L. Hollands, "Vortex counting and Lagrangian 3-manifolds," arXiv:1006.0977 [hep-th].
- [30] K. Maruyoshi and M. Taki, "Deformed prepotential, quantum integrable system and Liouville field theory," arXiv:1006.4505 [hep-th].
- [31] M. Taki, "Surface operator, bubbling Calabi-Yau and AGT relation," arXiv:1007.2524 [hep-th].
- [32] A. B. Zamolodchikov, "Infinite additional symmetries in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory," *Theor. Math. Phys.* **65** (1985) 1205–1213.
- [33] N. Drukker, D. Gaiotto, and J. Gomis, "The virtue of defects in 4D gauge theories and 2D CFTs," arXiv:1003.1112 [hep-th].
- [34] M. Ademollo et al., "Supersymmetric strings and color confinement," Phys. Lett. B62 (1976) 105.
- [35] T. Tjin, "Finite W algebras," Phys. Lett. **B292** (1992) 60-66, hep-th/9203077.

- [36] J. de Boer and T. Tjin, "Quantization and representation theory of finite W algebras," Commun. Math. Phys. 158 (1993) 485–516, hep-th/9211109.
- [37] A. De Sole and V. Kac, "Finite vs. affine W-algebras," math-ph/0511055.
- [38] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, "Quantum reduction in the twisted case," math-ph/0404049;
 B. Noyvert, "Ramond sector of superconformal algebras via quantum reduction," JHEP 11 (2006) 045, math-ph/0408061;
 T. Arakawa, "Representation theory of W-algebras, II: Ramond twisted representations," arXiv:0802.1564 [math.QA].
- [39] S. P. Smith, "A class of algebras similar to the enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_2 ," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** (1990) 285.
- [40] P. Furlan, A. C. Ganchev, and V. B. Petkova, "Singular vectors of W algebras via DS reduction of $A_2^{(1)}$," Nucl. Phys. **B431** (1994) 622–666, hep-th/9403075.
- [41] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, "The power of Nekrasov functions," Phys. Lett. B680 (2009) 188-194, arXiv:0908.2190 [hep-th];
 A. Mironov and A. Morozov, "On AGT relation in the case of U(3)," Nucl. Phys. B825 (2010) 1-37, arXiv:0908.2569 [hep-th].
- [42] S. Kanno, Y. Matsuo, S. Shiba and Y. Tachikawa, "\$\mathcal{N} = 2\$ gauge theories and degenerate fields of Toda theory," Phys. Rev. **D81**, 046004 (2010) arXiv:0911.4787 [hep-th];
 S. Kanno, Y. Matsuo and S. Shiba, "Analysis of correlation functions in Toda theory and AGT-W relation for SU(3) quiver," Phys. Rev. **D82**, 066009 (2010) arXiv:1007.0601 [hep-th];
 F. Passerini, "Gauge theory Wilson loops and conformal Toda field theory," JHEP **1003** (2010) 125, arXiv:1003.1151 [hep-th];
 J. Gomis and B. Le Floch, "'t Hooft operators in gauge theory from Toda CFT," arXiv:1008.4139 [hep-th].
- [43] V. A. Fateev and A. V. Litvinov, "On differential equation on four-point correlation function in the conformal Toda field theory," *JETP Lett.* 81 (2005) 594–598, hep-th/0505120;
 V. A. Fateev and A. V. Litvinov, "Correlation functions in conformal Toda field theory I," *JHEP* 11 (2007) 002, arXiv:0709.3806 [hep-th].
- [44] R. Schiappa and N. Wyllard, "An A_r threesome: Matrix models, 2d CFTs and 4d N=2 gauge theories," arXiv:0911.5337 [hep-th].
- [45] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, "Toda theories, matrix models, topological strings, and $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge systems," arXiv:0909.2453 [hep-th].
- [46] M. C. N. Cheng, R. Dijkgraaf, and C. Vafa, "Non-perturbative topological strings and conformal blocks," arXiv:1010.4573 [hep-th].

- [47] H. Awata and Y. Yamada, "Five-dimensional AGT conjecture and the deformed Virasoro algebra," *JHEP* **01** (2010) 125, arXiv:0910.4431 [hep-th].
- [48] D. Gaiotto, " $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dualities," arXiv:0904.2715 [hep-th].
- [49] V. Pestun, "Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops," arXiv:0712.2824 [hep-th].
- [50] A. Negut, "Laumon spaces and the Calogero-Sutherland integrable system," Invent. Math. 178 (2009) 299, arXiv:0811.4454 [math.AG];
 N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, "Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories," arXiv:0908.4052 [hep-th];
 A. Mironov and A. Morozov, "Nekrasov functions from exact BS periods: the case of SU(N)," J. Phys. A43 (2010) 195401, arXiv:0911.2396 [hep-th];
 N. Nekrasov and E. Witten, "The Omega Deformation, Branes, Integrability, and Liouville Theory," arXiv:1002.0888 [hep-th];
 J. Teschner, "Quantization of the Hitchin moduli spaces, Liouville theory, and the geometric Langlands correspondence I," arXiv:1005.2846 [hep-th].